# Impact Analysis Report/ RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0160** (RTC-58278) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM465705 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA-AT |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-v5.14.1 – CSE-v51.6.0)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P5: (DDNTA-v5.14.1 - CSE-v51.6.0) - G0026 wording update** |
| The main issue reported by NA-AT (IM465705) is that this Guideline is appropriate for the External Domain messages, but the guideline is not helpful for the Common Domain messages.  For that reason, **G0026** should be updated by adding the word ‘D.I.’ in the functional description, because the G0026 is also assigned on Data Item ‘Security indicator from export declaration’. In addition, taking into account that the Data Item <TRANSIT OPERATION.Additional Declaration Type> is not communicated in the Common Domain, it is proposed to remove the **G0026** from the Common Domain messages. |

**Section 2: Problem Statement**

|  |
| --- |
| In TSS CSE deliverable SfA version 51.6.0 - Appendix Q2 (DDNTA v5.14.1), G0026 is assigned on:   • the Data Item <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT - Security indicator from export declaration> for the messages CD001C, CD003C, CC017C, CD050C, CD038C, CC029C, CC043C, CC044C, CD115C, CD160C, CD165C  and  • on the Data Group <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT – PREVIOUS DOCUMENT> in messages CC015C, CD001C, CD003C, CD012C, CC017C, CD050C, CD038C, CC029C, CC013C, CC043C, CC044C, CD115C, CD165C and CD160C   The latest wording of G0026 is the following:    G0026 is assigned on the aforementioned Data Group and the Data Item, to clarify under whether circumstances these Data Elements should be filled in.  More specifically, in case of Export Followed by Transit (post Transitional Period), the export MRN should be included under the Data Group <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT – PREVIOUS DOCUMENT>.   In addition, taking into consideration the statement “This D.G. can be used only” in the wording of Guideline G0026, the reference to the Data Item <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT - Security indicator from export declaration> is missing.  The Guideline is NOT ONLY assigned on the Data Group <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT – PREVIOUS DOCUMENT> but also on the Data Item <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT - Security indicator from export declaration>. As a result, the Guideline will be updated accordingly.  To sum up, the wording of G0026 **will be updated** in order to mention D.I. and not only D.G. in the Guideline description.  In addition, taking into account that the Data Item <TRANSIT OPERATION.Additional Declaration Type> is not communicated in the Common Domain, it is proposed **to remove** the G0026 **from the Common Domain messages**, because is considered redundant. The Guideline will remain ONLY in External Domain messages. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-v5.14.1 (incl. Appendix Q2) and the CSE-v51.6.0** shall be corrected as follows (addition of **text highlighted in yellow**):  **G0026:**  **Technical Description:**  N/A  **Functional Description:**  This D.G./D.I. can be used only  - in case of Export followed by transit (maximum one MRN included per one House Consignment) AND  - if the <TRANSIT OPERATION.Additional Declaration Type> is EQUAL to 'A'.  In addition, **G0026** will be removed from the following data items and data groups (that exist **ONLY** in Common domain messages):  • the Data Item <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT - Security indicator from export declaration> for the messages CD001C, CD003C, CD050C, CD038C, CD115C, CD160C, CD165C  and  • on the Data Group <CONSIGNMENT- HOUSE CONSIGNMENT – PREVIOUS DOCUMENT> in messages CD001C, CD003C, CD012C, CD050C, CD038C, CD115C, CD165C and CD160C  **More specifically in CD001C:**  ….  ------HOUSE CONSIGNMENT 999x R E1406  ….  ---------PREVIOUS DOCUMENT 99x O E1301 ~~G0026~~  ….  ------HOUSE CONSIGNMENT  Sequence number R n..5 R0987  Country of dispatch D a2 CL008 C0909 E1301 G0062 G0988  Gross mass R n..16,6 R0983  Reference number UCR D an..70 C0502 E1301 G0002 G0062  Security indicator from export declaration O n1 CL217 G0025 ~~G0026~~  ….  The aforementioned removal will apply also in the common domain messages above.  NCTS-Data Mapping- v0.43 file: NCTS-Data Mapping- v0.43” file will be updated to depict the change regarding the messages CD001C, CD003C, CD012C, CD050C, CD038C, CD115C described above.  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC-Proposal describes a **purely documentary**improvement (guideline) with no implementation impact. It is considered that the change proposed via the current RFC-Proposal has no impact on business continuity and can therefore be deployed in a **flexible way** approach.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (T-Ops):   As soon as possible, at latest 1.12.2023  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (T-CT):                     July 2022  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (T-CAB):                  January 2022  **Impact on transition**: None  **Risk of not implementing the change:** None  **Impacted Message(s):**   * CD001C, CD003C, CD012C, CD050C, CD038C, CD115C, CD160C and CD165C   **Impacted CIs:**   * **CSE-v51.6.0: Yes;** * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2, PDFs): Yes;** * **DMP Package-v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: Yes (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): Yes;** * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM): No; * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No; * CRP-v5.5-v1.00: No; * ACS - v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No; * TRP-5.7.5: No; * DDCOM v20.3.0-v1.00: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No; |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-v5.14.1 (Appendices)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High   |  | | --- | | Appendices generated by CSE + Appendix Q2, Q2\_R\_C | |
| **CSE-v51.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Updates as described in section 3.** | |
| **DMP-v5.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **NCTS- Data Mapping- v0.43 file on conversion resolution fields.** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | The RFC-Proposal describes a purely documentary improvement (guideline) with no implementation impact. | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 08/11/2021 |  |
| v0.11 | Updates by CUSTDEV | 15/12/2021 | *Version Update* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | SfA to NPMs |